

UNITED STATES DEPATMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO

09/485,650

04/05/00

BETTIOL

Τ.

CM1817

027748

IM31/0731

THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY PATENT DIVISION MIAMI VALLEY LABORATORIES P.O. BOX 538707 CINCINNATI OH 45253-8707

EXAMINER

PURI, A **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER

1751

DATE MAILED:

07/31/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

		Application No.	pplicant(s)	
	•	09/495,650	TSTEINHARDT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
	•	Anil K Puri	1751	
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication a		heet with the correspondence address	
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5	1.51.61		
1 <i>)</i> [∠] 2a)[⊠		This action is non-fina	1	
3)□	,		•	
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
· . ,	on of Claims			
	Claim(s) 12-3 is/are pending in the applica			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from considerati	on.	
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•	
6) Claim(s) 12-3 is/are rejected.				
i	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
1	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 t	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☑ All· b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notic	the of References Cited (PTO-892) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) the mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 N	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/495,650

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1 and 12-31 are pending in this application

Response to Amendment

This office action is in respone to application amendment Under 37 C.F.R. 1.111 filed on 5/21/01 and entered as paper number 7

Abstract objection Under 37 C.F.R. 1.72(b) is withdrawn because of applicant has provided the abstract in their response in above said paper.

Claim rejection –35 U.S.C.§ 112 second paragraph

The regrection of claim 19 is withdrawn because terms "1800E7", "1200E7", and "600E20" are defined in specification on page 49.

The rejection of claims1,12-18 and 20-31 under 35 USC 103 as being unpatenable over Ghosh et al U.S. '948 in combination with Cuperus et al WO'362 is maintained for the reason of record and basis of this rejection is the same as given in paper No. 6 dated 3/01/01

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 06/21/01 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant urges that two references cited in the reflection are not combinable because U.S.'948 teaches liquid laundry detergent composition comprising water soluble and or dispersible modified polyamines having functionalized back bone moieties that provide cotton soil release benefits and protease enzyme. Applicant urges that Cuperus et al fail to to teach cotton soil release polymer of the claimed invention

Application/Control Number: 09/495,650

Art Unit: 1751

and the applicant submit that there exist no motivation in combining these two references.

The examiner position is such that the arguments are not found persuasive because two references are in the same analogs art in cleaning composition. Secondry reference of Cuperus WO'362 clearly teaches on page 11 lines 25-30 that the amylase enzyme are functional equivalent to protease enzyme in the cleaning composition and thus a person of ordinary skill in the art would be motivate to replace protease enzyme to mannase enzyme. Such modification would be obvious because one would expect that the use of protease enzyme is similarly useful and applicable in cleaning or personel care detergent composition because the two enzyme are taught to be functional equivalent by Cuperus et al. Further applicants have not provided any additional data to over come the regection of record.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anil K Puri whose telephone number is 703/605-4427. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM TO 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yougendra Gupta can be reached on (703)-308-4708. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703/305-3599 for regular communications and 703/305-3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703/308-0661.

UYOGENDRA N. GUPTA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

AKP July 30, 2001